

www.elsevier.nl/locate/jorganchem



Journal of Organometallic Chemistry 600 (2000) 71-83

Titanium imido complexes containing 1,3,5-triazacyclohexane ligands

Paul J. Wilson^a, Alexander J. Blake^a, Philip Mountford^{b,*1}, Martin Schröder^{a,*2}

^a School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK ^b Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QR, UK

Received 18 October 1999; received in revised form 14 January 2000

Abstract

The syntheses of the 1,3,5-trimethyl- and tri-*tert*-butyl-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane-supported imido complexes $[M(NR)(R'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (M = Ti or Zr (NMR only); R = Bu' or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr'₂; R' = Me or Bu') are reported, along with that of the thermally robust dibenzyl derivative $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$. The *tert*-butylimido ligand in $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ undergoes exchange with ArNH₂ (Ar = 4-C₆H₄Me or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr'₂) to form the corresponding arylimides $[Ti(NAr)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$. The Me₃tach ring in $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ undergoes slow exchange with Bu'₃tach or Me_3tacn (1,4,7-trimethyl-1,4,7-triazacyclononane) to give the ring-exchanged products $[Ti(NR)(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ and $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tacn)Cl_2]$, respectively. The complexes $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)X_2]$ (R = Bu' or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr'₂; X = Cl or CH₂Ph) exhibit room-temperature dynamic NMR behaviour via an unusual trigonal twist of the facially coordinated Me₃tach ligand, and the activation parameters for these processes have been measured and are discussed. The X-ray structures of $[Ti(NR)(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (R = Bu' or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr'₂) and $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(X)_2]$ (X = Cl or CH₂Ph) are reported. Me₃tach and Bu'₃tach = 1,3,5-trimethyl- and tri-*tert*-butyl-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane, respectively. © 2000 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Titanium; Zirconium; Imide; Triazacyclohexane; X-ray diffraction; Fluxional; Benzyl

1. Introduction

As part of an ongoing research programme in transition-metal imido chemistry [1], we have been interested in developing the chemistry of Group 4 complexes of the general type [M(NR)(fac-L₃)X₂] (R = alkyl or aryl; R = halide or alkyl), where the fac-L₃ ligand represents a N-peralkylated triazacycle such as a 1,4,7-triazacyclononane (R₃tacn) or a 1,3,5-triazacyclohexane (R₃tach) derivative [2,3]. Our interest in such complexes stems from the isolobal relationships between the sixelectron-donor ligands fac-L₃ (neutral), cyclopentadienide (formally monanionic) and imide (RN²⁻, formally dianionic) [4]. Complexes of the type [M(NR)(fac-L₃)X₂] are therefore isolobal analogues of corresponding metallocenes [M(η -C₅H₅)₂X₂], which form a very important class of compound in contemporary fundamental and catalytic organotransition metal chemistry $[5]^3$.



 $M = Group \; 4 \; metal; \; X = halide \; or \; alkyl; \textit{fac-L}_3 = R_3 tach \; or \; R_3 tach \; (R = alkyl)$

As part of this programme we recently described the synthesis and X-ray structures of the 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-supported imido titanium complexes

¹*Corresponding author. Fax: + 44-1865-272690; e-mail: philip.mounford@chem.ox.ac.uk

² *Corresponding author. Fax: +44-115-9513563; e-mail: martin. schroder@nott.ac.uk

³ Note that although for ease of representation all titanium-imido linkages are drawn 'Ti=NR', the formal Ti-N_{imide} bond order in the complexes described herein is generally best thought of as three (pseudo- σ^2 - π^4 triple bond) rather than as two [1c,4a,23].

[Ti(NBu')(R_3 tacn)Cl₂] (R = H or Me) [2a]. These isolobal analogues of [Ti(η -C₅H₅)₂Cl₂] allow ready substitution of the chloride ligands and already suggest an extensive reaction chemistry [2b]. We report here parallel studies of the synthesis, structures and reaction dynamics of Group 4 imido complexes of the 1,3,5-trialkyl-1,3,5-triazacyclohexane ligands, R₃tach (R = Meor Bu'). In general, complexes of R₃tach ligands have mostly been reported for middle to late transition metals [6]. Part of the work described below has been communicated [3]. During the course of our studies, imido titanium complexes of Bu'₃tach and Et₃tach were independently reported by Baker et al. [7].

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Syntheses

In previous studies, we found that the complexes $[Ti(NR)Cl_2(py)_n]$ (R = Bu' or aryl, n = 2 or 3) are useful entry points to new imido titanium chemistry [1,8]. The compounds $[Ti(NBu')Cl_2(py)_2]$ and $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr'_2)Cl_2(py)_3]$ in particular are readily prepared in multi-gram quantites, and form the starting point for the syntheses described herein. A wide number of 1,3,5-

trisubstituted 1,3,5-triazacyclohexanes, R_3 tach, have been described [9]. We focused our studies on the trimethyl and tri-*t*-butyl homologues Me₃tach [9a] and Bu₃'tach [9d] as representative examples of their class in terms of steric and solubility factors.

Reaction of one equivalent of Me3tach with $[Ti(NBu')Cl_2(py)_2]$ in dichloromethane at room temperature immediately afforded a bright yellow solution. Within minutes, a mass of microcrystalline yellow needles formed leaving a pale yellow supernatant. After concentration of the supernatant, collection of the crystalline product afforded [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a) as a yellow powder in 94% yield. The corresponding reaction of one equivalent of Me₃tach with the arylimido complex $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)Cl_2(py)_3]$ in dichloromethane at room temperature for 16 h, followed by removal of the volatiles and recrystallisation from dichloromethane-hexane mixtures, afforded [Ti(N-2,6- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Me_3tach)Cl_2$ (1b) as a pale brown powder in 89% yield. The syntheses of all of the new compounds $[M(NR)(R'_3 tach)Cl_2]$ are summarised in Scheme 1.

The corresponding imidotitanium complexes of Bu'₃tach were prepared in an analogous manner. Thus reaction of one equivalent of Bu'₃tach with $[Ti(NBu')-Cl_2(py)_2]$ for 7 days gave $[Ti(NBu')(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (2a) as a mustard yellow powder in 86% yield. An analogous



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) Me_3 tach, CH_2Cl_2 , 1 or 14 h, 94 or 89%; (ii) Bu'_3 tach, CH_2Cl_2 , 7 days, 86%; (iii) Me_3 tach, CD_2Cl_2 , 15 min, 100% (NMR).

reaction of one equivalent of Bu_3^t tach with $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)Cl_2(py)_3]$ afforded $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Bu_3^t-tach)Cl_2]$ (2b) as a red-brown powder, also in 86% yield (Scheme 1). The complexes 2a and 2b exhibit higher solubilities than 1a and 1b, respectively, as might be expected from the presence of the three ring *tert*-butyl substituents in 2a and 2b.

The room temperature NMR data for [Ti(NR)- $(Bu_1^t tach)Cl_2$ (R = Bu' 2a or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr₂ⁱ 2b) and the low-temperature (slow exchange) NMR data for $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (R = Bu^t 1a or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr^t₂ 1b) are consistent with the structures proposed in Scheme 1. These NMR spectra reveal resonances assignable to a tert-butyl or 2,6-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂ group, and to a coordinated R_3 tach ligand (Me or Bu^t). The R_3 tach sub-spectra feature different chemical shifts for the R groups cis or trans to Ti=NR, and resonances for two pairs of chemically inequivalent diastereotopic methylene linkages. The room temperature spectra for 1a and 1b are broad and indicative of a fluxional process. The single-crystal X-ray structures of 1a, 2a and 2b, along with the solution dynamic NMR behaviour of 1a and 1b, are described in detail below.

We were also interested to prepare zirconium analogues of compounds 1 or 2. An NMR tube-scale reaction of one equivalent of Me₃tach with $[Zr(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)Cl_2(THF)_2]$ [10] in CD₂Cl₂ at room temperature afforded the complex $[Zr(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)-(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (3) in near-quantitative yield, as determined by ¹H- and ¹³C{¹H}-NMR spectroscopy. Unfortunately, this compound could not be isolated on a preparative scale, possibly due to weak binding of the Me₃tach ligand to the larger metal. Compound 3 was therefore characterised by NMR methods alone. The NMR data for 3 are analogous to those for the fully characterised titanium congener 1b, and are thus consistent with the structure proposed in Scheme 1.

2.2. Ligand substitution chemistry

The triazacyclohexane ligand in $[Ti(NR)(Me_3-tach)Cl_2]$ (1a, 1b) and the *tert*-butylimide and chloride groups in $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1a) can all be substituted. The reactions are discussed in turn.

A few metal alkyl complexes containing facially coordinated triazacyclohexane rings have been reported previously [6c-d,f-g]. For example, Köhn and co-workers have described the di- and trialkyl complexes $[Cr(Pr_3^{t}tach)(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Cl]$ and $[Cr(R_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_3]$ $(R = CH_2Ph, cyclohexyl)$ [6c]. Thus, reaction of two equivalents of benzylmagnesium chloride with [Ti-(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2] (1a) in THF at - 50°C gave a bright yellow solution. Stirring at room temperature overnight, followed by the addition of 1,4-dioxane, filtration and removal of the volatiles afforded a pale yellow solid, which was recrystallised from toluenehexane to give $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4) as a semi-crystalline, yellow powder in 84% yield. The X-ray structure and dynamic NMR behaviour of 4 are discussed below and support the structure proposed in Eq. (1). The low-temperature NMR spectra of 4 are comparable to those of the dichloride precursor 1a, but feature additional resonances for two η^1 -coordinated benzyl ligands. The diasterotopic H atoms of the methylene groups of the chemically equivalent benzyl ligands appear as a pair of mutually-coupled doublets (²J = 8.9 Hz) at 3.37 and 2.39 ppm. Attempted preparation of [Ti(NBu')(Bu'_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2] from 2a and benzylmagnesium chloride yielded intractable mixtures.



Scheme 2 summarises the imido and triazacyclic ligand exchange reactions of the Me₃tach complexes 1a and 1b. Reaction of one equivalent of 4-methylaniline with $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1a) in dichloromethane at room temperature, followed by subsequent heating to 50°C for 2 days gave the arylimido complex [Ti(N-4- C_6H_4Me)(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1c) as an orange powder in 93% yield. The ¹H- and ¹³C{¹H}-NMR spectra of 1c are similar to those of the complexes 1a and 1b, and analogous fluxional behaviour (see below) is observed at room temperature. In order to establish the scope of the imido group exchange reactions for 1a, [Ti(N-2,6- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Me_3tach)Cl_2$ (1b) was synthesised in an analogous manner on an NMR scale. Thus reaction of 1a with one equivalent of 2,6-diisopropylaniline in CDCl₃ heated at 75°C for 3 days afforded quantitative yields of 1b and tert-butylamine. While compound 1a undergoes tert-butylimide/arylamine exchange reactions in an NMR tube-scale reaction, the sterically more crowded homologue [Ti(NBu²)(Bu²₃tach)Cl₂] (2a) showed no reactivity towards 4-methylaniline even after 14 days at 75°C in CDCl₃. Although tert-butylimide/ arylamine exchange reactions are generally well established in imido titanium chemistry [1a,8], it is interesting that [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a) undergoes such reactions since the triazacyclononane analogue [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tacn)Cl₂] does not [2].

Based on an analysis of the relative stabilities of free and complexed R_3 tach ligands, Baker and co-workers have suggested that the stability order of homologous triazacyclohexane complexes $[M(R_3 tach)L_n]$ is R =Bu' > Et > Me [6h-j]. To establish whether this se-



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) ArNH₂, CH₂Cl₂ or CDCl₃, 50–75°C, 2–3 days, 93–100 (NMR) %; (ii) Bu'₃tach, CDCl₃, 6–11 days, r.t. – 75°C, 35% (NMR); (iii) Me₃tach, CDCl₃, 7–8 days, r.t. – 75°C, 100% (NMR).

quence applies to the complexes $[Ti(NR)(R'_{3}tach)Cl_{2}]$ (1a,b and 2a,b), NMR tube-scale reactions were performed in which one equivalent of Bu₂tach was added to a solution of [Ti(NR)(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a,b) in CDCl₃ (Scheme 2). After 6 days at room temperature, 20% conversion of 1a to [Ti(NBu^t)(Bu^t₃tach)Cl₂] (2a) and Me₃tach had occurred. Similar results were obtained for the conversion of $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1b) to $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Bu_3^tach)Cl_2]$ (2b). Heating the samples to 75°C for a further 5 days drove the reactions only to ca. 35% conversion in both cases (giving K_{eq} ca. 0.3 for $1a, b \rightarrow 2a, b$). Further reaction times (up to 14 days) had negligible effects on the extent of conversion. The Me₃tach ligands in 1a and 1b are therefore labile towards displacement by Bu'stach, but the reactions are slow and the differences in complex stability are relatively small (exchange ΔG_{348} < ca. 4 kJ mol⁻¹) and favour **1a,b** and free Bu₃tach over 2a,b and free Me₃tach in these titanium imido systems.

Baker and co-workers have also proposed that the triazacyclohexane complexes $[M(Me_3tach)(CO)_3]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; R = Me, Et, Bu') are less stable than their triazacyclononane analogues [6h]. In parallel studies we have prepared the triazacyclononane analogues of **1a** and **1b**, namely $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tacn)Cl_2]$ (R = Bu' or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr'₂) [2]. We have thus been able to establish the relative stabilities of the Me₃tach and Me₃tacn imido titanium complexes by triazacycle exchange NMR tube

scale reactions. Reaction of one equivalent of Me₃tacn with [Ti(NR)(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a,b) in CDCl₃ (Scheme 2) gave ca. 50% conversion to the corresponding $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tacn)Cl_2]$ and free Me_3tach after 7 days at r.t. Heating the samples at 75°C for a further 24 h forced the reactions to completion. In contrast, the tert-butyl-substituted triazacyclohexane complexes $[Ti(NR)(Bu'_{3}tach)Cl_{2}]$ (2a,b) showed no reaction with Me₃tacn at room temperature over 14 days, and subsequent heating of the samples to 75°C for similar periods gave rise to only traces of [Ti(NR)(Me₃tacn)Cl₂] and free Bu₃tach. No reaction occurred between complexes [Ti(NR)(Me₃tacn)Cl₂] and Bu₃tach under similar conditions.

The Me₃tacn-supported imido titanium complexes are thus considerably more stable than their corresponding Me₃tach counterparts, **1a,b**. However, the triazacycle exchange reactions are slow, indicating that there is a significant activation barrier to these processes. The lack of reactivity observed for the Bu₃'tach complexes is not unexpected on steric grounds, and suggests that the complexes **2a,b** are kinetically more stable than the Me₃tach analogues, **1a,b**.

Taken all together, the reactions summarised in Scheme 2 reveal the thermodynamic stability order: $[Ti(NAr)(R_3tach)Cl_2] + Bu'NH_2 \gg [Ti(NBu')(R_3tach)-Cl_2] + ArNH_2$; $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tacn)Cl_2] + R_3tach \gg [Ti-(NBu')(R_3tach)Cl_2] + Me_3tacn$; $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2] + Bu'_3tach > [Ti(NBu')(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2] + Me_3tach$.



Fig. 1. Displacement ellipsoid plot of $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1a). Ellipsoid boundaries are drawn at the 40% probability level and H atoms are omitted.

2.3. Solid-state structures

The X-ray structures of the *cis*-dichloride complexes $[Ti(N-Bu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1a), $[Ti(N-Bu')(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (2a) and $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr'_2)(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (2b), and of the dibenzyl species $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4) have been determined. Displacement ellipsoid plots are shown in Figs. 1-4, data collection and processing parameters are listed in Table 1, and selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 2.

All four complexes feature a six-coordinate, pseudooctahedral titanium centre with a facially coordinated R₃tach ring, an organoimido ligand and two mutually cis chloride (1a, 2a or 2b) or benzyl (4) ligands. The bond lengths and angles within the R₃tach moieties, imide N-substituents and n¹-benzyl ligands are comparable with previously reported examples [6,11]. The Ti=N_{imide} bond distances and Ti=N_{imide}-R angles are within the normal ranges [1], and are consistent with the imido groups in these complexes forming a triple bond to titanium. The Ti= N_{imide} distance of 1.732(6) Å for the arylimido species 2b is significantly longer than those for the two *tert*-butylimido derivatives (1a, 2a) with *cis*-chloride ligands, for which values of 1.699(4)and 1.692(5) Å are found. This is consistent with the known tendency of arylimido complexes to feature longer M=N_{imide} bond lengths than their alkylimido homologues [11], which may be attributed to the delocalisation of nitrogen π -electron density onto the aryl ring [1a,c]. The increased Ti=N_{imide} distance in 4 compared with that in 1a most likely reflects the better σ -donor ability of benzyl ligands as compared with chloride.

The Ti- N_{tach} distances in all of the four complexes are consistent with single, dative bonds. The Ti- N_{tach} bond distances *trans* to the imido ligand in all of the complexes are consistently longer than those that are *cis*, this reflecting the well-known *trans* influence of multiply bonded ligands such as imide [1c,12]. The average *trans* influences for the three dichloride complexes vary in the sequence **1a** (avg. 0.180 Å) < **2a** (avg. 0.222 Å) > **2b** (avg. 0.142 Å). The electronic origins of the typically larger *trans* influence in six-coordinate *tert*-butylimido complexes (such as **1a** and **2a**) com-



Fig. 2. Displacement ellipsoid plot of $[Ti(NBu')(Bu'_{tach})Cl_2]$ (2a). Ellipsoid boundaries are drawn at the 40% probability level and H atoms are omitted.



Fig. 3. Displacement ellipsoid plot of $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Bu_3^tach)Cl_2]$ (2b). Ellipsoid boundaries are drawn at the 40% probability level and H atoms are omitted.

pared with their arylimido homologues (e.g. 2b) have been explained by us elsewhere [1c]. The longer Ti-N_{tach} distances in the Bu₃'tach complexes 2a and 2b as compared with those in [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a) is presumably a consequence of the increased steric bulk of the Bu₃'tach ligand compared with Me₃tach. The Ti-N_{tach} distances in 4 are all longer than those in 1a, again reflecting the good σ -donor ability of the benzyl ligands in 4.

The Ti-Cl distances in all three complexes 1a, 2a and

2b are unexceptional in comparison with other titanium(IV) complexes [1,11], but those for 2b are significantly shorter than in 1a and 2a. This probably reflects

the longer Ti-N_{tach} distances (avg. 2.334 Å) trans to the chloride ligands in this latter complex compared with the other two (avg. 2.244 for 1a and 2.291 Å for 2a). In $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4) the Ti-C bond lengths of 2.213(4) and 2.214(4) Å and the Ti-C-Ph bond angles of 119.0(2) and 114.9(2)° are normal for titanium-bound η^1 -benzyl ligands [11]. The C-H bond distances of 0.96(5), 0.91(4), 0.94(5) and 0.99(4) Å and associated Ti-C-H angles for the benzyl methylene group hydrogen atoms (which were located from Fourier maps and isotropically refined) confirm the absence of a-agostic Ti-H interactions in the solid state. Furthermore, the interatomic Ti--H distances (range 2.52(4) - 2.67(4) Å) are too long to suggest agostic interactions. The classical benzyl group coordination is, however, not surprising since the six-coordinate, titanium(IV) centre of 4 has a 16-valence-electron count and so one would not necessarily expect to find agostic interactions in a titanium complex of this type [13].

It is interesting to compare the structural data for $[Ti(NR)(R'_{3}tach)Cl_{2}]$ with those of the previously reported triazacyclonane complexes [Ti(NBu')(R3tacn)- Cl_2] (R = H or Me) [2a]. The Ti=N_{imide} distances in the R_3 tacn complexes are comparable with those in 1a, 2a and 2b, whereas the Ti-Cl distances (avg. 2.405 and 2.374 Å for R = H and Me, respectively) are longer. The Cl-Ti-Cl angles for the R₃tacn complexes [97.23(4) and 95.75(4)° for R = H and Me, respectively] are both smaller (consistent with the longer Ti-Cl distances) than in any of the R₃tach complexes described here. Not surprisingly, the N_{tacn} -Ti- N_{tacn} angles (avg. 74.7 and 75.6° for R = H and Me, respectively) are all substantially larger than N_{tach}-Ti-N_{tach} for any of the four R_3 tach complexes 1a, 2a, 2b or 4 (range 58.0(1) to 61.4(2)°), reflecting the different triazacycle ring sizes.



Fig. 4. Displacement ellipsoid plot of $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4). Non-H atom ellipsoid boundaries are drawn at the 40% probability level. H atoms are omitted except for those of the benzylic methylene linkages: these are shown as spheres of an arbitrary radius.

Table 1

2h 4 18 2a Molecular formula $C_{10}H_{24}Cl_2N_4Ti$ $C_{19}H_{42}Cl_2N_4Ti$ $C_{27}H_{50}Cl_2N_4Ti$ C24H38N4Ti 319.14 445.38 549.53 430.50 Formula weight Crystal system Orthorhombic Monoclinic Monoclinic Monoclinic Space group $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ $P2_{1}/n$ $P2_{1}/n$ $P2_{1}/c$ Wavelength (Å) 0.71073 0.71073 0.71073 0.71073 Unit cell dimensions a (Å) 7.053(2) 9.871(5) 8.852(3) 16.804(4)b (Å) 13.818(5) 16.677(11) 18.905(5) 11.226(3) c (Å) 16.452(6) 15.020(11) 17.937(5) 13.603(3) β (°) 103.51(5) 91.36(3) 103.91(3) Volume (Å³) 1603.4(7) 2404(2) 3000.9(2) 2490.8(9) Ζ 4 4 4 4 Absorption coefficient (mm⁻¹) 0.85 0.59 0.48 0.35 Crystal description Pale yellow rod Yellow block Orange plate Yellow plate Crystal size (mm) $0.40 \times 0.16 \times 0.13$ $0.35 \times 0.27 \times 0.26$ $0.35 \times 0.15 \times 0.05$ $0.65 \times 0.45 \times 0.20$ Theta range for data collection (°) 2.88-25.06 2.56-24.99 2.51-22.54 2.5-25.0 ω–θ Scan type $\omega - \theta$ $\omega - \theta$ ω–θ 1803 Reflections collected 4131 6460 6994 4131 Independent reflections 1659 3927 4366 R_{merge} 0.02 0 (no reflections to be merged) 0.07 0.02 Observed reflections 1435 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 3079 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 2453 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 3264 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ Absorption correction √-scans ψ-scans Integration ψ-scans 0.904, 0.998 0.772, 0.883 0.926, 0.973 T_{\min}, T_{\max} 0.771, 0.889 No. of data used in refinement 1435 3079 2453 3264 Number of restraints applied 0 6 0 0 Number of parameters refined 154 262 307 278 Refinement method Full-matrix least-squares Extinction parameter None required Absolute structure parameter 0.09(9) Weighting scheme Chebychev polynomial Unit weights Unit weights Unit weights Final R indices ^a $R_1 = 0.045$, $R_1 = 0.068$, $R_1 = 0.085,$ $R_1 = 0.04$, $R_{w} = 0.047$ $R_{w} = 0.067$ $R_{w} = 0.070$ $R_{w} = 0.05$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ [I > 2(I)][I > 2(I)][I > 2(I)]Goodness-of-fit 1.119 0.938 1.097 0.999 Final $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}$ 0.003 0.003 0.001 0.03 Largest residual $\Delta \rho$ features (e Å⁻³)

0.41 and -0.63

X-ray data collection and processing parameters for [Ti(NBu')(Me₁tach)Cl₂] (1a), [Ti(NBu')(Bu'₁tach)Cl₂] (2a), [Ti(N-2,6-C₆H₃Pr¹₂)(Bu'₁tach)Cl₃] (2b), and $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4)

^a $R = R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|/\Sigma |F_o|; R_w = \sqrt{\{\Sigma w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_o|^2\}}.$

0.36 and -0.63

2.4. Dynamic NMR behaviour of $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)X_2]$

The solution ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data for the Bu_itach complexes 2a and 2b are fully consistent with the solid-state structures and show sharp resonances for the imido N-substituents along with two types of ring N-Bu^t substituents and diastereotopic methylene protons of the triazacyclohexane ring. In contrast, the resonances for the Me₃tach ligand in $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (R = Bu' 1a or 2,6-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂ 1b) and [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)(CH₂Ph)₂] (4) are broad at room temperature. However, the resonances for the imido N-substituents (and also the benzyl ligands in 4) are sharp and their linewidths are temperature-independent. The Me₃tach sub-spectra of 1a, 1b and 4 are temperature dependent and, on cooling of the samples, sharpen to become analogous to those of the Buttach complexes, fully consistent with the solid-state structures described above. Standard variable-temperature NMR spectroscopic experiments including spin saturation transfer (SST) and ¹H lineshape (rate constant) analyses were used to characterise the dynamic processes [14].

0.70 and -0.73

0.30 and -0.32

The dynamic NMR spectra for 1a, 1b and 3 are consistent with an in-place trigonal twist of the Me₃tach ligand, as discussed further below. In the slow exchange limit (268 K for 1a and 1b and 243 K for 3) the ¹H-NMR spectra for all three complexes show two sharp resonances in a ratio 3H:6H for the methyl groups trans and cis to the imido ligand, respectively. On increasing the probe temperature, these groups undergo site exchange (confirmed by SST) with the rate constants for the cis-Me \rightarrow trans-Me jumps being half of those for *trans*-Me \rightarrow *cis*-Me, as expected from massbalance requirements [14b]. In addition, SST ¹H-NMR experiments confirm exchange between the two types of 'down' (*endo*, with respect to Ti) methylene hydrogens of Me_3 tach, and also between the two types of 'up' (*exo*) hydrogens. Significantly, there was no exchange between the 'down' and 'up' hydrogens, indicating that the dynamic process does not involve complete dissociation of the triazacyclohexane ring. In additional NMR experiments we found no evidence for SST between the methyl groups of the coordinated Me_3 tach in [Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl₂] and added free Me_3tach at temperatures approaching the fast (intramolecular) exchange limit.

Table 2

Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1a), $[Ti(NBu')(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (2a), $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr'_2)(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2]$ (2b), and $[Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2]$ (4)

	1a	2a	2b	4
Bond distances				
Ti(1)-N(1)	1.699(4)	1.692(5)	1.732(6)	1.713(3)
Ti(1)-N(2)	2.241(5)	2.292(4)	2.335(6)	2.290(3)
Ti(1)-N(4)	2.247(5)	2.290(4)	2.332(6)	2.306(3)
Ti(1)-N(6)	2.424(4)	2.513(5)	2.476(6)	2.480(3)
Ti(1)-Cl(1) or C(100)	2.356(2)	2.351(2)	2.324(2)	2.213(4)
Ti(1)-Cl(2) or C(200)	2.363(2)	2.351(2)	2.337(2)	2.214(4)
Bond angles				
Ti(1)-N(1)-C(10)	176.3(4)	164.1(4)	173.2(5)	176.8(3)
N(2)-Ti(1)-N(4)	61.4(2)	61.2(1)	59.8(2)	60.2(1)
N(2)-Ti(1)-N(6)	59.0(2)	58.5(1)	58.9(2)	58.0(1)
N(4)-Ti(1)-N(6)	58.9(2)	58.4(1)	58.6(2)	58.0(1)
Cl(1)-Ti(1)-Cl(2)				
or	102.72(6)	99.44(8)	100.69(9)	100.0(1)
C(100)-Ti(1)-C(200)				
Ti(1)-C(100)-C(101)				119.0(2)
Ti(1)-C(200)-C(201)				114.9(2)

Table 3

Activation parameters for the Me₃tach ligand N-methyl group exchange for $[Ti(NR)(Me_3tach)X_2]$ (X = Cl, R = Bu' 1a or 2,6-C₆H₃Pr₂ 1b; X = CH₂Ph, R = Bu' 4) ^a

Compound	ΔH^{\ddagger} (kJ mol ⁻¹)	$\frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{(\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})}$	$\Delta G_{292}^{\ddagger}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)
1a	65.3 ± 1.0	7.9 ± 3.5	63.0 ± 1.4
	66.2 ± 1.3	11.3 ± 4.7	62.9 ± 1.9
1b	58.4 ± 1.5	-5.8 ± 5.5	60.1 ± 2.2
	59.9 ± 1.2	-0.3 ± 4.3	60.0 ± 1.7
4	49.9 ± 3.0	-27.3 ± 11.9	57.8 ± 4.6
	46.7 ± 3.3	- 39.9 ± 12.7	58.3 ± 5.0

^a The two values for each activation parameter for each compound correspond to the two independent measurements of each one, namely by lineshape analysis of the *cis* or *trans* (with respect to imide) methyl resonances (see text for details). All NMR measurements were made in CD_2Cl_2 at 4 K intervals in the range 268-308 K (1a), 260-288 K (1b) or 244-264 K (4).

Lineshape analyses of the methyl group resonances yielded exchange rate constants for all three Me_3 tach imido titanium complexes, and activation parameters (Table 3) were extracted using standard Eyring plots [14a]. An example of one of the Eyring plots is given in Fig. 5 for the *cis* to *trans* and *trans* to *cis* Me_3 tach ligand N-methyl group exchanges for $[Ti(NBu')-(Me_3 tach)Cl_2]$ (1a).

The ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} (and therefore ΔG^{\ddagger}) values decrease in the order 1a > 1b > 4. Muetterties and Guggenberger have suggested that ligand bite angle and steric factors influence greatly the activation energies for octahedral \rightarrow trigonal prismatic \rightarrow octahedral twists [15]. Larger bite angles necessitate more reorganisation and therefore produce higher activation barriers. From the X-ray structures of 1a and 4 it was found that the Ti– N_{tach} distances for 1a (avg. 2.304 Å) are shorter than those for 4 (avg. 2.359 Å), and the N_{tach} -Ti- N_{tach} bite angles are larger for 1a (avg. 59.8°) than for 4 (avg. 58.7°). The lower ΔH^{\ddagger} for 4 as compared with 1a is therefore consistent with these solid-state structural features. We do not have structural data for compound 1b for direct comparison with 1a, but we can compare the Ti-N_{tach} distances for the Bu₃tach homologues, 2a and **2b** (average Ti– $N_{tach} = 2.356$ and 2.381 Å for **2a** and **2b**, respectively) and triazacycle bite angles (average N_{tach} -Ti- N_{tach} = 59.4 and 59.1° for **2a** and **2b**, respectively). While the differences between the distances and bite angles for 2a and 2b are not as substantial as between 1a and 4, they are nonetheless consistent with the lower ΔH^{\ddagger} for **1b** as compared with **1a**, assuming that the solid-state structures of the Me₃tach complexes show the same trends as the Bu₃tach homologues.

The effectively zero (or slightly negative) values for ΔS^{\ddagger} lend further support to a non-dissociative (e.g. trigonal-twist) mechanism for the exchange processes in **1a**, **1b** and **4**. Previous studies of fluxional processes for a range of octahedral, bis(acetylacetonato)titanium complexes that undergo trigonal-twist rearrangements yielded somewhat more negative ΔS^{\ddagger} values (in the range ca. -55 to -90 J mol K⁻¹) [16a]. The more positive ΔS^{\ddagger} values for **1a**, **1b** and **4** indicate a less-ordered transition state compared with those for the previously studied titanium systems.

While an in-place trigonal-twist mechanism fully accounts for the dynamic NMR and activation parameter data, we note that five-coordinate systems often readily isomerise via Berry pseudorotations, or by the so called 'turnstile' mechanism [17]. In the six-coordinate complexes under consideration here, one donor atom (presumably the Me₃tach nitrogen *trans* to imide) would first have to dissociate in order to allow this form of rearrangement. Although it is possible that the *trans* triazacyclic nitrogen could dissociate in such a manner, detailed inspection of molecular models and the experimentally measured activation energies (in par-

Fig. 5. Eyring plots for the cis to trans (top) and trans to cis Me3tach ligand N-methyl group exchange for [Ti(NBu')(Me3tach)Cl2] (1a).

ticular, the values of ΔS^{\ddagger} which might be expected to be larger and more positive than those observed) favour a trigonal-twist mechanism.

The trigonal-twist mechanism has long been established for bis(bidentate ligand) chelate complexes of titanium(IV) [16], but, to our knowledge, the fluxional processes for 1a, 1b and 4 are the first such examples for any triazacyclic ligand. The fact that the complexes 1a and 1b are fluxional, whereas 2a and 2b and those of the larger R_3 tacn rings (R = H or Me) [2] are not, is

consistent with previous reports concerning the importance of steric factors and ligand bite angle on the energies of activation for such processes [15,16a]. Ground-state trigonal prismatic six-coordinate transition metal complexes ML_6 are well established and their structures have been rationalised theoretically, and it is generally accepted that a trigonal prismatic geometry can be favoured over the octahedral alternative for d^0 complexes, where L is a σ -only (or is only a weakly π -donating) ligand [18]. For ML₆ complexes where the ligand set contains effective π -donors, the octahedral geometry is preferred [19]. This consideration of electronic effects appears to account for the ground-state pseudo-octahedral geometries found for the R₃tach and R_3 tacn complexes since they feature strongly π -donating imido ligands. However, it appears that the trigonal prismatic alternatives are accessible on the NMR timescale for the less sterically crowded Me₃tach homologues with small bite angles.

3. Summary and conclusions

We have described a series of metallocene analogues based on triazacyclohexane-supported Group 4 imido complexes. Depending on the identity of the R₃tach N-substituents, the Me₃tach, tert-butylimide and chloride ligands in the new complexes can be substituted. Competitive ring-exchange reactions allowed the relative stabilities of imido titanium complexes of different R_3 tach and R_3 tach triazacycles to be determined. The fluxional processes in a series of Me₃tach imido titanium complexes has been investigated and activation parameters have been measured. These processes are classified as octahedral \rightarrow trigonal prism \rightarrow octahedron trigonal twists, analogous to bis(chelate) titanium complexes described previously. The X-ray crystal structures of four of the new complexes allow the effects of varying the R-, R'- and X-substituents in [Ti(NR)- $(R'_{3}tach)X_{2}$ to be analysed.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

All manipulations of air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were carried out under an atmosphere of dinitrogen or argon using standard Schlenk-line or dry-box techniques. All protio-solvents were pre-dried over activated molecular sieves and refluxed over an appropriate drying agent under an atmosphere of dinitrogen and collected by distillation. NMR solvents for air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were dried over freshly ground calcium hydride at room temperature (r.t.) (CD₂Cl₂, CDCl₃) or molten potassium (C_6D_6) , distilled under reduced pressure and stored under N₂ in Young ampoules. NMR samples of airand moisture-sensitive compounds were prepared in the dry-box in 5 mm Wilmad tubes, generally equipped with a Young's Teflon valve.

¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 300 spectrometer and referenced internally to residual protio-solvent (¹H) or solvent (¹³C) resonances. Chemical shifts are reported relative to TMS ($\delta = 0$ ppm) in δ (ppm) and coupling constants in Hertz. Assignments were supported by DEPT-135 and DEPT-90, homo- and heteronuclear, one- and two-dimensional experiments as appropriate. Elemental analyses were carried out by the analysis laboratory of The School of Chemistry, Nottingham. In the NMR assignments given below the '*cis*' and '*trans*' notations are defined as being with respect to the imido ligand.

[Ti(NR)Cl₂(py)_n] (R = Bu' or 2,6-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂; n = 2 or 3) [8], [Zr(N-2,6-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂)Cl₂(THF)₂] [10] and R₃tach (R = Me or Bu') [6a,d] were prepared according to literature methods.

4.2. $[Ti(NBu^{t})(Me_{3}tach)Cl_{2}]$ (1a)

A solution of Me₃tach (1.292 g, 10.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to a solution of $[Ti(NBu')Cl_2(py)_2]$ (3.481 g, 10.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (30 ml) at r.t. Within minutes a crop of bright yellow needle crystals formed. After the mixture was stirred for 60 min the volume was decreased under reduced pressure to approximately 6 ml. The resulting crystalline yellow precipitate was filtered off, washed with cold dichloromethane (5 ml, -20° C), hexane (5 ml), pentane (5 ml) and then dried under reduced pressure to give **1b** as a bright yellow powder. Yield: 3.00 g (94%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 4.82 [br d, 1H, endo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 4.15 [br d, 2H, endo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 3.77 [br d, 1H, exo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 3.29 [br d, 2H, exo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 2.89 (br s, 6H, cis-NMe), 2.13 (br s, 3H, trans-NMe), 1.06 (s, 9H, Bu'). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 77.4 [(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 76.8 [CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 70.7 (CMe₃), 41.5 (cis-NMe), 36.8 (trans-NMe), 31.4 (CMe₃). Anal. Found: C, 37.5; H, 7.9; N, 17.4. Calc. for C₁₀H₂₄Cl₂N₄Ti: C, 37.6; H, 7.6; N, 17.6%.

4.3. $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1b)

A solution of Me_3 tach (0.646 g, 5.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to a stirred solution of $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)Cl_2(py)_3]$ (2.657 g, 5.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 ml) at r.t. The resulting dark brown solution was stirred for 14 h and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The product was extracted into dichloromethane (15 ml) and then cautiously precipitated by the slow addition of hexane (40 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting brown precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane (5 ml) and then dried under reduced pressure to give **1b** as a pale brown powder. Yield: 1.88 g (89%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 6.86 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 2H, 3-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 6.72 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, 4-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 4.51 [br s, 1H, endo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 4.30 (sept, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CHPrⁱ₂), 4.27 [br s, 2H, endo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 3.83 [br s, 1H, exo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 3.55 [br s, 2H, exo-(trans-NMe)-CH₂(cis-NMe)], 2.76 (br s, 6H, cis-NMe), 2.24 (br s, 3H, trans-NMe), 1.25 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 156.3 (1-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 144.1 (2-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 122.0 (3-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 121.8 (4-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 77.5 [(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 77.1 [CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 41.0 (cis-NMe), 36.8 (trans-NMe), 27.4 (CHMe₂), 24.5 (CHMe₂). Anal. Found: C, 50.8; H, 7.7; N, 13.3. Calc. for C₁₈H₃₂Cl₂N₄Ti: C, 51.1; H, 7.6; N, 13.2%.

4.4. $[Ti(N-4-C_6H_4Me)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (1c)

A solution of 4-methylaniline (0.027 g, 0.25 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 ml) was added to a stirred solution of [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1c, 0.080 g, 0.25 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 ml) in a Young's ampoule. The stirred solution was then heated to 50°C for 48 h after which the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to leave an orange solid. The product was extracted into dichloromethane (5 ml) and then cautiously precipitated by the slow addition of hexane (3 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting orange precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane (4 ml) and dried under reduced pressure to give 1c as an orange powder. Yield: 0.082 g (93%). Satisfactory elemental analysis was not obtained for this compound.

¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 6.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, 3-C₆H₄Me), 6.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, 2-C₆H₄Me), 4.62 [br d, 1H, endo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 4.27 [br d, 2H, endo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 3.83 [br d, 1H, exo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 3.51 [br d, 2H, exo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 2.82 (br s, 6H, cis-NMe), 2.26 (br s, 3H, trans-NMe), 225 (s, 3H, C₆H₄Me). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 158.5 (1-C₆H₄Me), 131.6 (4-C₆H₄Me), 129.0 (3-C₆H₄Me), 123.2 (2-C₆H₄-Me), 78.2 [(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 77.1 [CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 41.3 (cis-NMe), 37.3 (trans-NMe), 21.0 (C₆-H₄Me).

4.5. $[Ti(NBu')(Bu'_{3}tach)Cl_{2}]$ (2a)

A solution of Bu'_3 tach (2.554 g, 10.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to a stirred solution of $[Ti(NBu')Cl_2(py)_2]$ (3.481 g, 10.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (40 ml). The resulting dark red solution was stirred for 7 days and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to leave a yellow-brown solid. The product was extracted into dichloromethane (20 ml) and then cautiously precipitated by the slow addition of hexane (50 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting yellow precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane (2×10 ml) and then dried under reduced pressure to give **2a** as a mustard yellow powder. Yield: 3.83 g (86%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 5.18 [d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, endo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 4.61 [d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, endo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 3.43 [d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, exo-CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 3.18 [d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, exo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 1.77 (s, 18H, cis-NBu'), 1.69 (s, 9H, trans-NBu'), 1.56 (s, 9H, TiNBu'). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 71.1 (TiNCMe₃), 67.0 (cis-NCMe₃), 66.6 (trans-NCMe₃), 57.6 [(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 56.6 [CH₂(cis-NMe)], 30.8 (TiNCMe₃), 26.7 (cis-NCMe₃), 26.2 (trans-NCMe₃). Anal. Found: C, 51.0; H, 9.7; N, 12.5. Calc. for C₁₉H₄₂Cl₂N₄Ti: C, 51.2; H, 9.5, N, 12.6%.

4.6. $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Bu_3^tach)Cl_2]$ (2b)

A solution of Bu₃'tach (1.277 g, 5.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 ml) was added to a stirred solution of $[Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2)Cl_2(py)_3]$ (2.657 g, 5.00 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 ml) at r.t. The resulting dark brown solution was stirred for 7 days and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to leave a dark brown solid. The product was extracted into dichloromethane (15 ml) and then cautiously precipitated by the slow addition of hexane (35 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting brown precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane (2 × 5 ml) and then dried under reduced pressure to give **2b** as a red-brown powder. Yield: 2.36 g (86%).

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 6.88 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 2H, $3-C_6H_3Pr_2^i$, 6.73 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, 4- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$), 5.15 [d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, endo- $CH_2(cis-NMe)_2$, 4.77 [d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, endo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 4.45 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, CHPr^{*i*}₂), 3.49 [d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, CH₂(cis-NMe)₂], 3.28 [d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, exo-(trans-NMe)CH₂(cis-NMe)], 1.51 (s, 18H, cis-NBu'), 1.28 (s, 9H, trans-NBu'), 1.25 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 156.5 (1-C₆H₃Prⁱ₂), 144.7 (2- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$), 122.3 (3- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$), 121.7 (4- $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$), 67.6 $(cis-NCMe_3)$, 66.3 $(trans-NCMe_3)$, 57.4 $[(trans-NCMe_3), 57.4]$ NMe) $CH_2(cis-NMe)$], 56.6 [$CH_2(cis-NMe)_2$], 27.7 (CHMe₂), 26.3 (cis-NCMe₃), 26.2 (trans-NCMe₃), 25.4 (CHMe₂). Anal. Found: C, 58.8; H, 9.1; N, 10.2. Calc. for C₂₇H₅₀Cl₂N₄Ti: C, 59.0; H, 9.2; N, 10.2%.

4.7. $[Zr(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)(Me_3tach)Cl_2]$ (3)

This compound could only be prepared on an NMR tube scale. A solution of Me₃tach (5.2 mg, 0.040 mmol) and $Zr(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr_2^i)Cl_2(THF)_2$] (19.2 g, 0.040 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.6 ml) were mixed together in a sealed NMR tube. The ¹H- and ¹³C{¹H}-NMR spectrum of the mixture after 15 min showed quantitative formation of 3, together with two equivalents of free THF.

¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 6.85 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, 3-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 6.56 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, 4-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 4.51 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H, endo-NCH₂N), 4.13 (sept, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CHPr¹₂), 3.35 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 3H, exo-NCH₂N), 2.56 (s, 9H, cis and trans-NMe), 1.19 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 152.5 (1-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 142.0 (2-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 122.1 (3-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 118.5 (4-C₆H₃Pr¹₂), 78.1 (NCH₂N), 39.7 (cis- and trans-NMe), 28.2 (CHMe₂), 24.2 (CHMe₂).

4.8. [Ti(NBu¹)(Me₃tach)(CH₂Ph)₂] (4)

Benzylmagnesium chloride (2.00 ml, 1.0 M in Et₂O, 2.00 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of [Ti(NBu')(Me₃tach)Cl₂] (1a) (0.319 g, 1.00 mmol) in THF (60 ml) at -50° C and in the absence of light. The resulting bright yellow solution was allowed to slowly warm to r.t. and then stirred for a further 18 h. 1,4-Dioxane (0.5 ml, 5.9 mmol) was added to the solution with stirring, resulting in a slight cloudiness. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow solid. The product was extracted into toluene (80 ml) and the solution then filtered and the volume reduced to approximately 20 ml. The product was then cautiously precipitated by the slow addition of hexane (40 ml) with vigorous stirring. The resulting yellow microcrystalline precipitate was filtered off, washed with hexane $(2 \times 5 \text{ ml})$ and dried under reduced pressure to give 4 as a bright yellow powder. Yield: 0.362 g (84%).

¹H-NMR (C_6D_6 , 300.1 MHz, 298 K): 7.20 (m, 8H, overlapping 2- and 3- C_6H_5), 6.80 (m, 2H, 4- C_6H_5), 3.40 (br s, 3H, endo-NCH₂N), 3.37 and 2.39 (2 × d, 2 × J = 8.9 Hz, 2 × 2H, 2 × CH₂Ph), 1.75 (br s, 3H, exo-NCH₂N), 1.51 (br s, 6H, cis-NMe), 1.41 (NBu'), 1.34 (br s, 3 H, trans-NMe). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (C_6D_6 , 75.5 MHz, 298 K): 155.2 (1- C_6H_5), 128.1 (3- C_6H_5), 126.1 (2- C_6H_5), 118.1 (4- C_6H_5), 76.4 (NCH₂N), 67.3 (NCMe₃), 59.9 (CH₂Ph), 39.2 (cis- and trans-NMe), 33.5 (NCMe₃). Anal. Found: C, 64.1; H, 8.8; N, 12.9. Calc. for C₁₀H₂₄Cl₂N₄Ti: C, 67.0; H, 8.9; N, 13.0%. The consistently low %C is possibly indicative of incomplete combustion due to carbide formation.

4.9. Crystal structure determinations of [$Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)Cl_2$] (1a), [$Ti(NBu')(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2$] (2a), [$Ti(N-2,6-C_6H_3Pr'_2)(Bu'_3tach)Cl_2$] (2b), and [$Ti(NBu')(Me_3tach)(CH_2Ph)_2$] (4)

Crystal data collection and processing parameters are given in Table 1. The crystals were coated in a film of perfluoropolyether oil on a glass fibre and transferred to a Stoë Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device [20]. Data were collected at 150(2) K using Mo-K_a radiation. Corrections for Lorentz, polarisation and absorption effects were performed and the structures were solved by direct methods using SIR92 [21]. Subsequent difference Fourier syntheses revealed the positions of all other non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms for all structures were placed geometrically and their positions allowed to vary using a riding model, with the exception of the benzyl ligand methylene H atoms of 4: these were located from Fourier difference maps and refined isotropically. For 2a one of the tert-butyl substituents for the Bu₃tach ligand was disordered. Two orientations for the methyl substituents were refined anisotropically [C(42), C(43), C(44) and C(421), C(431) and C(441)] with site occupancy factors of 0.38 and 0.62, subject to similarity restraints on the C(quat)-C(methyl) distances. Weighting schemes and other corrections were applied as required in the final stages of refinement. All crystallographic calculations were performed using SIR92 and CRYSTALS-PC [22].

5. Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structural analyses have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC nos. 440/083 (compounds 1a and 2a), 135523 (compound 2b), and 135524 (compound 4). Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: +44-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or http://www.ccdc. cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the EPSRC, Leverhulme Trust and Royal Society. We also thank Nottingham University for a studentship (to P.J.W.). We acknowledge the use of the EPSRC's Chemical Database Service at Daresbury Laboratory.

References

- (a) For a review see: P. Mountford, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1997) 2127 (Feature Article). (b) F.G.N. Cloke, P.B. Hitchcock, J.F. Nixon, W.J.D. Mountford, P. Mountford, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1999) 661. (c) N. Kaltsoyannis, P. Mountford, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1999) 781. (d) A.J. Blake, J.M. McInnes, P. Mountford, G.I. Nikonov, D. Swallow, D.J. Watkin, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1999) 379. (e) J.M. McInnes, P. Mountford, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1998) 1669. (f) A.J. Blake, S.C. Dunn, J.C. Green, N.M. Jones, A.G. Moody, P. Mountford, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1998) 1235. (g) A. Bashall, P.E. Collier, L.H. Gade, M. McPartlin, P. Mountford, D.T. Trösch, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1998) 2555.
- [2] (a) P.J. Wilson, A.J. Blake, P. Mountford, M. Schröder, Chem. Commun. (Cambridge) (1998) 1007. (b) P.J. Wilson, Ph.D. Thesis, Nottingham University, 1999.
- [3] P.J. Wilson, P.A. Cooke, A.J. Blake, P. Mountford, M. Schröder, New J. Chem. 23 (1999) 271.
- [4] (a) For a general review of imido chemistry see: D.E. Wigley, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 42 (1994) 239. (b) T.A. Albright, J.K. Burdett, M.-H. Whangbo Orbital Interactions in Chemistry, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1985. (c) D.S. Glueck, J.C. Green, R.I. Michelman, I.N. Wright, Organometallics 11 (1992) 4221. (d) D.N. Williams, J.P. Mitchell, A.D. Poole, U. Siemeling, W. Clegg, D.C.R. Hockless, P.A. O'Neil, V.C. Gibson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1992) 739. (e) D.S. Williams, M.H. Schofield, J.T. Anhaus, R.R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 6728.
- [5] (a) A. Togni, R.L. Halterman (Eds.), Metallocenes: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications, vols. 1 and 2, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1998. (b) C. Elschenbroich, A. Salzer, Organometallics: a Concise Introduction; 2nd ed., VCH, Weinheim, 1992. (c) W. Kaminsky, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1998) 1413. (d) H.H. Brintzinger, D. Fischer, R. Mülhaupt, B. Rieger, R.M. Waymouth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34 (1995) 1143.
- [6] For a selection of R₃tach complexes see: (a) M.B. Hursthouse, M. Motevalli, P. O'Brien, J.R. Walsh, A.C. Jones, J. Mat. Chem. 1 (1990) 141. (b) R. Fuchs, P. Klufers, J. Organomet. Chem. 424 (1992) 353. (c) R.D. Köhn, G. Kociok-Köhn, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 33 (1994) 1877. (d) R.D. Köhn, G. KociokKöhn, M. Haufe, J. Organomet. Chem. 501 (1995) 303. (e) R.D. Köhn, G. Seifert, G. Kociok-Köhn, Chem. Ber. 129 (1996) 1327. (f) M. Haufe, R.D. Köhn, R. Weimann, G. Seifert, D. Zeigan, J. Organomet. Chem. 520 (1996) 121. (g) M. Haufe, R.D. Köhn, G. Kociok-Köhn, A.C. Filippou, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1 (1998) 263. (h) N.L. Armanasco, M.V. Baker, M.R. North, B.R. Skelton, A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1997) 1363. (i) N.L. Armanasco, M.V. Baker, M.R. North, B.R. Skelton, A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1998) 1145.

(j) M.V. Baker, M.R. North, J. Organomet. Chem. 565 (1998) 225.

- [7] M.V. Baker, M.C. Palermo, B.W. Skelton, A.H. White, Aust. J. Chem. 52 (1999) 179.
- [8] A.J. Blake, P.E. Collier, S.C. Dunn, W.-S. Li, P. Mountford, O.V. Shishkin, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1997) 1549.
- [9] For a selection of R₃tach ligands see: (a) J. Graymore, J. Chem. Soc. (London) 125 (1924) 2283. (b) J. Graymore, J. Chem. Soc. (London) 134 (1931) 1490. (c) A. Klages, Chem. Ber. 36 (1903) 1506. (d) J.M. Lehn, F.G. Riddell, B.J. Price, I.O. Sutherland, J. Chem. Soc. B (1967) 387. (e) J. Barluenga, A.M. Bayón, P. Campos, G. Asensio, E. Gonzalez-Nuñez, Y. Molina, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 (1988) 1631. (f) H.-J. Ha, Y.-G. Ahn, J.-K. Chon, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 (1995) 2631. (g) R. Amoroso, G. Cardillo, C. Tomasini, P. Tortoreto, J. Org. Chem. 57 (1992) 1082.
- [10] D.J. Arney, M.A. Bruck, S.R. Huber, D.E. Wigley, Inorg. Chem. 31 (1992) 3749.
- [11] The United Kingdom Chemical Database Service: D.A. Fletcher, R.F. McMeeking, D. Parkin, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 36 (1996) 746.
- [12] (a) W.A. Nugent, J.M. Mayer, Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988. (b) J.K. Burdett, T.A. Albright, Inorg. Chem. 18 (1979) 2112. (c) E.M. Shustorovich, M.A. Porai-Koshits, Y.A. Busalev, Coord. Chem. Rev. 17 (1975)
 1. (d) P.D. Lyne, D.M.P. Mingos, J. Organomet. Chem. 478 (1994) 141. (e) P.D. Lyne, D.M.P. Mingos, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1995) 1635.
- [13] M. Brookhart, M.L.H. Green, L.-L. Wong, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 36 (1988) 1.
- [14] (a) J. Sandström, Dynamic NMR Spectroscopy, Academic Press, London, 1992. (b) M.L.H. Green, L.-L. Wong, A. Sella, Organometallics 11 (1992) 2660.
- [15] E.L. Muetterties, L.J. Guggenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94 (1972) 8046.
- [16] (a) D.C. Bradley, C.E. Holloway, J. Chem. Soc. A (1969) 282.
 (b) N. Serpone, R.C. Fay, Inorg. Chem. 6 (1967) 1835.
- [17] C.J. Marsden, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1984) 401 and refs. therein.
- [18] For leading references see: (a) M. Kaupp, Chem. Eur. J. 4 (1998) 1678. (b) S. Kleinhenz, V. Pfennig, K. Seppelt, Chem. Eur. J. 4 (1998) 1687.
- [19] M.H. Chisholm, I.P. Parkin, W.E. Streib, O. Eisenstein, Inorg. Chem. 33 (1994) 812.
- [20] J. Cosier, A.M. Glazer, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 19 (1986) 105.
- [21] A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, G. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, M.C. Burla, G. Polidori, M. Camalli, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 27 (1994) 435.
- [22] D.J. Watkin, C.K. Prout, J.R. Carruthers, P.W. Betteridge, CRYSTALS Issue 10, Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, 1996.
- [23] Z. Lin, M.B. Hall, Coord. Chem. Rev. 123 (1993) 149.